

Optimal Sobolev embeddings for symmetric gradients

Dominic Breit & Andrea Cianchi

22.10.2020

Main question

For a functions space X find smallest function space Y

s.t. for all $\mathbf{u} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (for all $\mathbf{u} \in E_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$)

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{Y(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})\|_{X(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

- $\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T)$ for $\mathbf{u} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$;
- First question: X and Y are r.i. spaces (Lebesgue, Lorentz and Orlicz spaces);
- Second question: X is r.i. space and Y is a space of continuous functions (with given modulus of continuity).

L^p -spaces are not rich enough

- If $X = L^p$ for $1 < p < n$ we have Korn's inequality, so Y is the space from the known Sobolev embedding;
- If $X = L^n$ there is no optimal (smallest possible) Lebesgue space Y s.t.

$$\|u\|_Y \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{L^n}.$$

Can be answered in the class of Orlicz spaces with $Y = \exp(L^{n'})$.

- Iteration of optimal embeddings fails: let $n = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} W^{2,1} &\hookrightarrow W^{1,2} \hookrightarrow \bigcap_p L^p, & W^{2,1} &\hookrightarrow L^\infty; \\ W^{2,1} &\hookrightarrow W^1 L^{2,1} \hookrightarrow L^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Motivation 1: non-Newtonian fluids

Eyring suggested in 1936 the constitutive law

$$\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})) = \nu_0 \frac{\operatorname{arsinh}(\lambda|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})|)}{\lambda|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})|} \approx \frac{\log(1 + |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})|)}{|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})|} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}).$$

- The natural function space (for $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})$) is the Orlicz space generated by $A(t) = t \ln(1 + t)$; Korn's inequality fails (Breit-Diening, JMFM, '12).
- Existence of weak solutions in 2D is proved by Breit-Diening-Fuchs (JDE, '12). For $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u})$ one needs compactness onto L^2 .

Motivation 1: non-Newtonian fluids

Theorem (Cianchi, JFA, 2015, Breit-Cianchi-Diening, SIMA, 2017)

The inequality

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^B} \leq K \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u})\|_{L^A}$$

for all $\mathbf{u} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with two Young functions A and B holds iff A and B satisfy

- Integrabilities are optimal (in the sense of Orlicz spaces);
- $A = B$ if A satisfies Δ_2 and ∇_2 (e.g. $A(t) = t^p$);
- $A(s) = s \ln(1 + s)$, $B(s) = s$;
- $A(s) = \infty \chi_{(1, \infty)}$, $B(s) = s(e^s - 1)$.

Motivation 2: Orlicz-Sobolev embeddings

Optimal embedding for Young function A (Cianchi, 1996, IUMJ)

$$\|u\|_{L^{A_n}} \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{L^A}, \quad A_n(s) = \int_0^s r^{n'-1} (\Phi_n^{-1}(r^n))^{n'},$$

$$\Phi_n(s) = \int_0^s \frac{\tilde{A}(t)}{t^{1+n'}}, \quad \tilde{A}(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} [st - A(s)].$$

- Recovers standard cases such as $W^{1,p} \hookrightarrow L^{pn/(n-p)}$ and $W^{1,n} \hookrightarrow \exp(L^{n'})$;
- Can we produce the same embedding if ∇u is replaced by $\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})$?

Rearrangement invariant function spaces

Let $\|\cdot\|_{X(0,|\Omega|)}$ be a rearrangement-invariant function norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{X(\Omega)} = \|\mathbf{u}^*\|_{X(0,|\Omega|)}$$

- *Decreasing rearrangement* of a measurable function \mathbf{u} :

$$\mathbf{u}^*(s) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |\{x \in \Omega : |\mathbf{u}(x)| > t\}| \leq s\} \quad \text{for } s \in [0, \infty);$$

- $X(\Omega)$ includes all functions s.t. $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{X(\Omega)} < \infty$;
- We have $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \|\mathbf{u}^*\|_{L^p(0,|\Omega|)}$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$;
- W^1X : u and ∇u in X ; E^1X : \mathbf{u} and $\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})$ in X .

Optimal embeddings in r.i. spaces (1)

Theorem (Breit-Cianchi): Let X and Y be r.i. spaces

$$E_0^1 X(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{R}^n) \iff W_0^1 X(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

- **Standard and symmetric Sobolev embeddings are equivalent!**
- Holds iff for any non-increasing function $f : (0, |\Omega|) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

$$\left\| \int_s^\infty f(r) r^{-1+\frac{1}{n}} dr \right\|_{Y(0,|\Omega|)} \leq c_3 \|f\|_{X(0,\infty)};$$

- Version for (ε, δ) -domains with $\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega) < \infty$;
- Version for W_0^1 and E_0^1 for domains with $\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega) < \infty$;
- Special case: Orlicz-Sobolev embedding as in Cianchi '96, e.g.

$$E^1 L^n(\log L)^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \exp \exp L^{n'}.$$

Optimal embeddings in r.i. spaces (2)

Main idea: interpolate endpoint embeddings

- Spector-Van Schaftingen (2019)

$$E^1 L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{n',1}(\mathbb{R}^n);$$

- By Korn's inequality

$$E^1 L^{n,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W^1 L^{n,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n);$$

- The key: **representation formula for the K -functional**

$$K(\mathbf{u}, t, E_0^1 L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), E_0^1 L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \approx \int_0^t \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})^*(s).$$

Optimal embeddings in C^σ (1)

For an r.i. space X with $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sigma_X(r) = 0$

$$E^1 X(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{\sigma_X}(\Omega) \quad (\varepsilon, \delta)\text{-domain,}$$

$$E_0^1 X(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{\sigma_X}(\Omega) \quad \text{bounded domain,}$$

$$\sigma_X(s) = \|r^{-\frac{1}{n'}} \chi_{(0,s^n)}\|_{X_{e'}} + s \|r^{-1} \chi_{(s^n,R)}\|_{X_{e'}}.$$

- $C^\sigma(\Omega)$ space of all functions with

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^\sigma(\Omega)} = \|\mathbf{u}\|_{C^0(\Omega)} + \sup_{x,y \in \Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{u}(x) - \mathbf{u}(y)|}{\sigma(|x - y|)} < \infty;$$

- Examples: $\sigma_{L^\infty} \approx r \log(1/r)$ and $\sigma_{\exp(L^\beta)} \approx r(\log(1/r))^{1+1/\beta}$.

Optimal embeddings in C^σ (2)

Ideas of the proof:

- Sufficiency: use singular integral representation

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})(y) \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} dy$$

- Necessity: consider the function $\mathbf{u} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q} x \int_{\omega_n|x|^n}^1 f(r)r^{-1} dr & \text{if } x \in B \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus B \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow c &\geq \sup_{\mathbf{u} \in E_0^1 X(\Omega)} \frac{|\mathbf{u}(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) - \mathbf{u}(0, 0, \dots, 0)|}{\sigma(|x_1|) \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})\|_{X(\Omega)}} \\ &\geq c'' \frac{|x_1| \|r^{-1} \chi_{(\omega_n|x_1|^n, 1)}(r)\|_{X'(0, \infty)}}{\sigma(|x_1|)} \geq c''' \frac{\varrho_X(|x_1|)}{\sigma(|x_1|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Classical Sobolev spaces

DeVoire-Scherer (Ann. Math., '79)

$$K(u, t, W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n), W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \approx \int_0^t u^*(s) + \int_0^t (\nabla u)^*(s).$$

- Inequality " \geq " trivial due to

$$K(u, t, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \approx \int_0^t u^*(s);$$

- Approach via maximal function and Lipschitz truncation by Calderón-Milman '83.

Symmetric Lipschitz truncation

Given $\mathbf{u} \in E^1L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\theta, \lambda > 0$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\theta, \lambda} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(\mathbf{u}) > \theta\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})) > \lambda\}.$$

Whitney covering of $\mathcal{O}_{\theta, \lambda}$ and local projections onto rigid motions.
Truncate \mathbf{u} to $\mathbf{u}_{\theta, \lambda}$ (following Breit-Diening-Fuchs, JDE, '12) s.t.

- $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{\theta, \lambda}$ outside $\mathcal{O}_{\theta, \lambda}$;
- $|\mathbf{u}_{\theta, \lambda}| \lesssim \theta$ and $|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_{\theta, \lambda})| \lesssim \lambda$;
- $\mathbf{u} \in E_0^1L^1(\Omega)$ implies $\mathbf{u}_{\theta, \lambda} \in E_0^1L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof (1)

Let $\mathbf{u} \in E^1 L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, fix $t > 0$, set $\theta = M(\mathbf{u})^*(t)$, $\lambda = M(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}))^*(t)$.

Set $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{u}_{\theta, \lambda}$ and $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_1$, thus

$$K(\mathbf{u}, t, E^1 L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), E^1 L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \leq \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{E^1 L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + t \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{E^1 L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{E^1 L^\infty} &= \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \theta + \lambda \\ &= M(\mathbf{u})^*(t) + M(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}))^*(t) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{t} \left(\int_0^t \mathbf{u}^*(s) + \int_0^t \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})^*(s) \right), \\ \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{E^1 L^1} &\leq \|\mathbf{u} \chi_{\mathcal{O}_{\theta, \lambda}}\|_{L^1} + \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) \chi_{\mathcal{O}_{\theta, \lambda}}\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{u}_1 \chi_{\mathcal{O}_{\theta, \lambda}}\|_{L^1} + \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1) \chi_{\mathcal{O}_{\theta, \lambda}}\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned}$$

Proof (2)

Using $|\mathcal{O}_{\theta,\lambda}| \leq ct$ we have

$$\|\mathbf{u}\chi_{\mathcal{O}_{\theta,\lambda}}\|_{L^1} \leq \|\mathbf{u}^*\chi_{(0,ct)}\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}^*\chi_{(0,t)}\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} = \int_0^t \mathbf{u}^*(s)$$

$$\|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})\chi_{\mathcal{O}_{\theta,\lambda}}\|_{L^1} \lesssim \int_0^t \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})^*(s),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_1\chi_{\mathcal{O}_{\theta,\lambda}}\|_{L^1} + \|\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_1)\chi_{\mathcal{O}_{\theta,\lambda}}\|_{L^1} &\lesssim t\theta + t\lambda \\ &= t(M(\mathbf{u})^*(t) + M(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}))^*(t)), \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_0^t \mathbf{u}^*(s) + \int_0^t \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})^*(s) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof (3)

- For $\mathbf{u} \in E^1 L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + E^1 L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ use Lipschitz truncation;
- For the K -functional on bounded domains Ω use extension operator with the property $\varepsilon(\mathcal{E}_\Omega \mathbf{u}) = \mathcal{L}^1 \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{L}^2 \mathbf{u}$;
- For $\mathbf{u} \in E_0^1 L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + E_0^1 L^{n,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = E_0^1 L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$K(E_0^1 L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), E_0^1 L^{n,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \approx \int_0^{t^{n'}} \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})^*(s) + t \int_{t^{n'}}^\infty \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})^*(s) s^{-\frac{1}{n'}} ,$$

$$K(t, \mathbf{u}; L^{n',1}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \approx \int_0^{t^{n'}} s^{-\frac{1}{n}} \mathbf{u}^*(s);$$

- The Sobolev embedding follows then from

$$\int_0^t s^{-\frac{1}{n}} \mathbf{u}^*(s) \lesssim \int_0^t s^{-\frac{1}{n}} \int_s^\infty \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})^*(r) r^{-\frac{1}{n'}} .$$

Extension operator (1)

Assume that Ω is an (ε, δ) -domain in \mathbb{R}^n .

There is extension operator $\mathcal{E}_\Omega : L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\mathcal{E}_\Omega : E^1L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow E^1L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \mathcal{E}_\Omega : E^1L^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow E^1L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

- We have $\varepsilon(\mathcal{E}_\Omega \mathbf{u}) = \mathcal{L}^1 \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{L}^2 \mathbf{u}$;
- Using the K -functional: $\mathcal{E}_\Omega : E^1X(\Omega) \rightarrow E^1X(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- Construction by Whitney covering and reflection (following Jones, Acta. Math. '81);
- Improves extension operator by Gmeineder-Raita (JFA, '19).

Extension operator (2)

Assume that Ω is an (ε, δ) -domain in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\mathcal{E}_\Omega \mathbf{u} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{u} & \text{in } \Omega \\ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{M}} \varphi_j \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_j & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

- $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_j(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_j \mathbf{u}(\theta(x - x_j) + \tilde{x}_j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{M}$, where for $\mathbf{u} \in E^1 L^p(\Omega)$

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = \mathcal{R}_\Omega(\mathbf{u})(x) + \mathcal{L}_\Omega(\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}))(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega;$$

- $\{Q_j\}$ is covering of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ and $\{\tilde{Q}_j\}$ covering of Ω ;
- $\{Q_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{M}}$ cubes close to $\partial\Omega \rightsquigarrow$ associated cubes from $\{\tilde{Q}_j\}$.