

Error analysis for 2D stochastic Navier–Stokes equations in bounded domains

Dominic Breit & Andreas Prohl

August 2022

Stochastic Navier–Stokes equations

Velocity field \mathbf{u} and pressure π on $Q = (0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbf{u} = [\mu\Delta\mathbf{u} - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \nabla\pi] dt + \mathbb{G} dW & \text{in } Q, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } Q. \end{cases}$$

- Cylindrical Wiener process W on a separable Hilbert space with basis $(e_k)_{k \geq 0}$ (e.g. $L^2(\mathcal{O})$): $W = \sum_{k \geq 0} \beta_k e_k$;
- Coefficient \mathbb{G} is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator;
- Multiplicative noise $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(\mathbf{u})$ has to be Lipschitz;
- Defined on filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, (\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$;
- Initial datum \mathbf{u}_0 can be random.

Stochastic perturbations

- 1 It can be understood as turbulence in the fluid motion.
Holm: Dynamics of fluid particles contain turbulent part $\sigma \circ dW$

$$d\mathbf{u} = \dots + [\sigma \nabla \mathbf{u}] \circ dW;$$

- 2 Regularisation by noise. Flandoli-Luo (2021): transport noise delays vorticity blow-up

$$d\omega = \dots + [\sigma \nabla \omega] \circ dW;$$

- 3 Can be interpreted as a perturbation from the physical model.
- 4 Apart from the force \mathbf{f} , which we are observing, there are further quantities with (usually small) influence on the motion.

Weak formulation

Find \mathbf{u} such that for all $\varphi \in W_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\mathcal{O})$ and all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{u}(t) \cdot \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \varphi \, dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla \varphi \, dx \, d\sigma$$

$$- \mu \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla \mathbf{u} : \nabla \varphi \, dx \, d\sigma + \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi \cdot \int_0^t \mathbb{G} \, dW \, dx.$$

- Pressure disappears in weak formulation;
- By Itô-isometry if $\mathbb{G} \in L_2(L_x^2; L_x^2)$

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^T \mathbb{G} \, dW \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|\mathbb{G}\|_{L_2(L_x^2; L_x^2)}^2 \, dt = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|\mathbb{G} e_k\|_{L_x^2}^2 \, dt.$$

Weak pathwise solutions

A stochastically strong solution

is an (\mathfrak{F}_t) -adapted stochastic process \mathbf{u} with

$$\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T]; L^2_{\text{div}}(\mathcal{O})) \cap L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}_{0,\text{div}}(\mathcal{O}))$$

\mathbb{P} -a.s. which solves the momentum equation in the weak sense.

- Exists on a given stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, (\mathfrak{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$;
- W is a given (\mathfrak{F}_t) -cylindrical Wiener process;
- We have $\mathbf{u}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_0$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. where \mathbf{u}_0 is \mathfrak{F}_0 -measurable with $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2(\Omega; L^2_{\text{div}}(\mathcal{O}))$;
- First results by Capiński and Capiński-Cutland (1991/1993).

Qualitative properties: periodic case

Solution \mathbf{u} satisfies for all $r < \infty$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}|^2 \right]^r \leq c_r \mathbb{E} \left[1 + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{W_x^{1,2}}^2 \right]^r.$$

- “Test” by $\Delta \mathbf{u}$ (apply Itô’s formula to $t \mapsto \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_x^{1,2}}^2$);
- Use $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\nabla \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} \, dx = 0$;
- Higher order estimates: $\mathbf{u}_0 \in W_x^{k,2} \Rightarrow \mathbf{u} \in L_t^\infty(W_x^{k,2}) \cap L_t^2(W_x^{k+1,2})$;

Qualitative properties: Dirichlet case

Solution \mathbf{u} satisfies for all $r < \infty$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + \int_0^{t_R} \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}|^2 \right]^r \leq c(r, R) \mathbb{E} \left[1 + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{W_x^{1,2}}^2 \right]^r.$$

- Here t_R is a stopping time s.t. $\|\nabla u(t_R)\|_{L_x^2} \geq R$;
- $t_R \rightarrow t$ with $\mathbb{P}(t = \infty) = 1$;
- Higher order estimates (depending on R) accordingly.
- Not known for ANY finite deterministic T .

Finite-element spaces

\mathcal{T}_h is quasi-uniform subdivision of \mathbb{T}^2 into triangles S , $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$V^h(\mathbb{T}^2) := \{\mathbf{v}_h \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^2) : \mathbf{v}_h|_S \in \mathcal{P}_i(S) \forall S \in \mathcal{T}_h\},$$

$$P^h(\mathbb{T}^2) := \{\pi_h \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) : \pi_h|_S \in \mathcal{P}_j(S) \forall S \in \mathcal{T}_h\}.$$

- $V^h(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $P^h(\mathbb{T}^2)$ linked by inf-sup condition:

$$\sup_{\mathbf{v}_h \in V^h(\mathbb{T}^2)} \frac{\int_{\mathcal{O}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_h \pi_h \, dx}{\|\nabla \mathbf{v}_h\|_{L^2_x}} \geq C \|\pi_h\|_{L^2_x} \quad \forall \pi_h \in P^h(\mathbb{T}^2);$$

- Discretely solenoidal finite element functions by

$$V_{\operatorname{div}}^h(\mathbb{T}^2) := \left\{ \mathbf{v}_h \in V^h(\mathbb{T}^2) : \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_h \pi_h \, dx = 0 \forall \pi_h \in P^h(\mathbb{T}^2) \right\}.$$

The algorithm

Find r.v. $\mathbf{u}_{h,m}$ with values in $V_{\text{div}}^h(\mathbb{T}^2)$ s.t. for all $\varphi \in V_{\text{div}}^h(\mathbb{T}^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbf{u}_{h,m} \cdot \varphi \, dx + \tau \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} ((\nabla \mathbf{u}_{h,m}) \mathbf{u}_{h,m-1} + (\text{div} \mathbf{u}_{h,m-1}) \mathbf{u}_{h,m}) \cdot \varphi \, dx \\ + \mu \tau \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{h,m} : \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbf{u}_{h,m-1} \cdot \varphi \, dx \\ + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{G}(\mathbf{u}_{h,m-1}) \Delta_m W \cdot \varphi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

- Initial datum $\mathbf{u}_{h,0} \in V_{\text{div}}^h(\mathbb{T}^2)$ given (e.g. $\mathbf{u}_{h,0} = \Pi_h \mathbf{u}_0$);
- Here $\Delta_m W = W(t_m) - W(t_{m-1})$ where $t_m = m \frac{T}{M}$;
- First analysed by Carelli-Prohl (2012).

Convergence rates (1)

Breit-Dodgson (Num. Math. 2021): for any $\xi > 0$, $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta < 1$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\frac{\max_m \|\mathbf{u}(t_m) - \mathbf{u}_{h,m}\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \sum_m \tau \|\mathbf{u}(t_m) - \mathbf{u}_{h,m}\|_{W_x^{1,2}}^2}{h^{2\beta} + \tau^{2\alpha}} > \xi \right] \rightarrow 0$$

- Convergence in probability with rates (almost) $1/2$ and 1 .
- Carelli-Prohl (2012): same estimate with $h^{2\beta} + \tau^\alpha$.
- Low time-regularity of pressure \rightsquigarrow decompose into deterministic and stochastic part.
- Bessaih-Millet: logarithmic L^2 -convergence by exponential moment bounds.

Convergence rates (2)

Strategy of the proof:

- Estimates localised in sample space on $\Omega^{\tau,h}$, where $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^{\tau,h}) \rightarrow 1 \rightsquigarrow$ estimate for $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}_{\Omega^{\tau,h}} \dots]$
- $\Omega^{\tau,h}$ controls blow-up of $\max_m \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t_m)\|_{L_x^2}$
- Introduce in m -th step $\Omega_{m-1}^{\tau,h}$, multiply by $\mathbb{I}_{\Omega_{m-1}^{\tau,h}}$ to control blow-up of $\max_{n \leq m-1} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t_n)\|_{L_x^2}$;
- Use regularity estimates for continuous solution.

Multiplicative noise

Breit-Prohl (Preprint): for any $\xi > 0$, $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta < 1$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\frac{\max_m \|\mathbf{u}(t_m^R) - \mathbf{u}_{h,m}\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \sum_m \tau \|\mathbf{u}(t_m^R) - \mathbf{u}_{h,m}\|_{W_x^{1,2}}^2}{h^{2\beta} + \tau^{2\alpha}} > \xi \right] \rightarrow 0$$

- Multiplication by $\mathbb{I}_{\Omega_{m-1}^{\tau,h}}$ insufficient to control continuous solution until t_m !
- Discrete stopping times $t_m^R \leq t \rightsquigarrow (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{t_m})$ -stopping times but not $(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_t)$ -stopping times;
- Since $t_m^R \rightarrow t_m$ in probability it does not effect convergence result.

Additive noise (1)

Consider $\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{u}(t) - \int_0^t \Phi dW(s) = \mathbf{u}(t) - \Phi W(t)$ which solves

$$\partial_t \mathbf{y} = \mu \mathcal{A} \mathbf{y} - \mathcal{P}[(\nabla \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{y}] + \mu \mathcal{A}[\Phi W] - \mathcal{P}[\mathcal{L}^W(\mathbf{y})]$$

- \mathbf{y} solves deterministic equation with random coefficients;
- \mathbf{y} has a weak time-derivative;
- Control temporal error between $\mathbf{y}(t_m)$ and \mathbf{y}_m using stopping times for \mathbf{u} , $(\mathbf{u}_m)_{m=1}^M$ and ΦW ;
- Error between \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{y} known.

Additive noise (2)

Breit-Prohl (IMAJNA, online): for any $\xi > 0$, $\alpha, \beta < 1$

$$\max_m \mathbb{P} \left[\frac{\|\mathbf{y}(t_m^R) - \mathbf{y}_{h,m}\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \sum_m \tau \|\mathbf{y}(t_m^R) - \mathbf{y}_{h,m}\|_{W_x^{1,2}}^2}{h^{2\beta} + \tau^{2\alpha}} > \xi \right] \rightarrow 0$$

- Convergence of (up to) order 1 in time!
- Control temporal error between \mathbf{y}_m and $\mathbf{y}_{h,m}$ using estimates for $(\mathbf{y}_m)_{m=1}^M$.
- Bessaih-Millet: Convergence rates in mean-square for $\mu \gg 1$.